IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NO. C 08-04312 JW

The NVIDIA GPU Litigation.

ORDER RE: PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT

Presently before the Court is Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion For Preliminary Approval of Settlement. (Docket Item No. 238.) The Court conducted a hearing on August 30, 2010. Based on the papers submitted to date and oral argument, the Court orders as follows:

- (1) The HP Consumer Objectors' Objection to the Preliminary Settlement is overruled as premature. (Docket Item No. 240.)
- (2) The parties' proposed Class Notice and Claim Form shall be revised with respect to the requirement of proof of purchase as follows:
 - 1. Please attach to this Claim Form some form of proof of purchase, which shows the date that you purchased your computer. Proof of purchase can be a sales receipt, credit card or other account statement, shipping manifest, purchase order, proof of registration, or such other documentation that the Administrator deems sufficiently reliable to demonstrate that one of the specified models was purchased in the specified purchase date range. If you cannot locate a receipt, please provide the product identification number found on the back of your computer, along with the approximate date of when the computer was purchased.
- (3) On or before **September 9, 2010**, the parties shall file a Revised Proposed Order for Preliminary Approval incorporating the changes as noted above and correcting any errors discussed at the August 30, 2010 hearing. The Revised Proposed Order shall

Case5:08-cv-04312-JW Document244 Filed09/02/10 Page2 of 3

set out all relevant dates leading up to the Final Approval hearing of **November 22**, **2010 at 9 a.m.** To extent that the Court's modification requires the parties to change the hearing date for Final Approval, the parties shall contact the Courtroom Deputy to coordinate a new date.

(4) Ten (10) days before the date of the Final Approval hearing, the claims administrator shall file with the Court and serve on the parties a report detailing the claims that have been denied on the basis of failing to meet one of the requirements outlined in the Claim Form and the reason why such claims were denied, organized by class of computer involved.

Dated: September 2, 2010

JAMES WARE

United States District Judge

26

27

28

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: 1 2 Alan M. Mansfield alan@clgca.com Alexander K Talarides atalarides@orrick.com 3 Alreen Haeggquist alreenh@zhlaw.com Coleen Patricia Schoch cschoch@orrick.com Eric David Freed eric@freedweiss.com 4 George Kevin Lang george@freedweiss.com 5 Gina M. Tufaro gtufaro@hhplawny.com Heather A. Moser hmoser@mofo.com Helen I. Zeldes helenz@zhlaw.com 6 Howard Holderness hholderness@morganlewis.com 7 Ira P. Rothken ndca@techfirm.com James C. Sturdevant jsturdevant@sturdevantlaw.com 8 James E. Cecchi jcecchi@carellabyrne.com Jeff S. Westerman jwesterman@milberg.com 9 Jennifer Nejad jnejad@orrick.com Joe R. Whatley jwhatley@wdklaw.com John Allen Lowther john@doylelowther.com 10 John Watson Crongeyer jwc@birdlawgroup.com Jonathan Shub jshub@shublaw.com 11 Joshua Daniel Watts jwatts@orrick.com Justin Myer Lichterman ilichterman@orrick.com 12 Karl Olson kolson@ramolson.com Kristofor Tod Henning khenning@morganlewis.com 13 Lindsey H. Taylor ltaylor@carellabyrne.com Michael A. Schwartz mschwartz@hhplawny.com 14 Michael Francis Ram mram@ramolson.com 15 Michelle Lindsay Leung mileung@orrick.com Monique Olivier molivier@sturdevantlaw.com 16 Nicholas Koluncich nkoluncich@newmexicoclassactions.com Nicole Marie Duckett nduckett@milberg.com 17 Paul O. Paradis pparadis@hhplawny.com Paul R. Kiesel Kiesel@kbla.com Ralph M. Stone rstone@lawssb.com 18 Robert P. Varian rvarian@orrick.com 19 Thomas G Ciarlone tciarlone@lawssb.com Whitney Huston whuston@sturdevantlaw.com 20 21 22 Dated: September 2, 2010 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 23 /s/ JW Chambers 24 By:_ Elizabeth Garcia 25 **Courtroom Deputy**